FROM-CLG FAX

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Orrington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston, Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date:

MARCH 28, 2006

To:

EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Fax #:

(571) 273-8300

From:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS

Phone #:

(847) 424-2521

Client/Matter No.:

AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

of Pages:

23

(including cover sheet)

IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE, PLEASE CALL 847/905-7111, Ext. 112 AND ASK FOR JENNIFER CRUZ.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. IT MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT, OR TRADE SECRET INFORMATION WHICH IS EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR. PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE (AND ALL COPIES) TO US BY MAIL AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE.

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MEMORY TRANSMISSION REPORT

MAR 2 9 2006

. MAR-28-06 05:03PM

TEL NUMBER1 - +18479057113

TEL NUMBER2:

: CLG FAX NAME

FILE NUMBER

: 703

DATE

: MAR-28 04-56PM

TO

15712738300

DOCUMENT PAGES

23

START TIME

: MAR-28 04:55PM

END TIME

MAR-28 05:03PM

SENT PAGES

: 23

FILE NUMBER

- 703

*** SUCCESSFUL TX NOTICE ***

OFFICIAL

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

1603 Ornington Avenue/Suite 2000 Evanston. Illinois 60201 Telephone 847 - 905 - 7111 Facsimile 847 - 905 - 7113

Date:

MARCH 28, 2006

EXAMINER TIV, BACKHEAN U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (571) 273-8300

Fax #:

From:

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (847) 424-2521

Phone #:

Client/Matter No.:

AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

of Pages: (including cover sheet)

IP YOU HAVE ANY PRODUCMS RECEIVING THIS MESSAGE. PLEASE CALL ##7/395-7111 FO. 112 AND ASK POR

This message is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain prividised confidential, attempt from the containing the message to the intended herifem? You are received the containing the may free that any containing the may contain of the message of the containing th

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR-29-06 04:04PM FROM-CLG FAX

MAR 2 9 2006 +18479057113 T-282 P.03/24 F-718

				Unite	De Lebes	Resuction Act of 1088 No P								
	TDANG	Atorney Docket N	٥		AUS9200	WS920010712US1 (9000/81)								
TRANSMITTAL					4	Application Number			10/044,997					
FORM				F	Filing Date			JANUARY 10, 2002						
				F	First Named Inventor			CRAIG H. BECKER						
	(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)				T	Group Art Lina 2			2141					
						Examiner			TIV, BAC	KHEA	N.			
				ENAL	0011	DES /shack a	II +b	est annivi						\neg
				ENCI	LOSURES (check all that apply) Assignment Papers				Арреа	ı Com	munication to Bo	pard of	٦	
	Amendment				7231B	Interior abora				Appeals and Interferences				
	Atter Final	1			Drawing Sneets				۸۸۸۹	al Bne	,		1	
	ш		1	_	Atter	Allowance Commi	inic:	anon to	Ø	محلمات	21 W M	•		1
	Affidavits/	qeciaration(\$)			Group									
					Petrion Routing Stip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petrion				Proprietary Information					
	Status Letter					onvert a sional Application				Post Card Receipt				
	One-Month Petric Time Request (du		of		Chan	ge of Corresponds	ence	Address	口	Addrional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
	Express Abandon				Tem	ninal Disclaimer								
	Information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449				Small Entity Statement							<u>.</u>		
	Certified Copy of Phonity Document(s)				Request of Refund									
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				Ø	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. <u>09-0447</u> (IBM CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed									
				×	I hereby petaion under 37 CFR § 1 138(a) for any extension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						re			
<u> </u>				<u> </u>		ALCULATION O			·					
								Sma	n Entity			Large I	entity	_
	Claims After			lest No nously For		Present Extra		Rate	Add'i Fee	Add'i Fee		Rate	Add1 Fee	
Total		Mittus				0		x \$25	5=			x \$50=		
Indep		Minus				0		x S10	<u></u>	0		x \$200=		
First F	resentation of Mul	ner Dep Claim							5180= -			- \$360=		\$0
							T/\	Tippe lead		\$ 0		total add'i feè	-	<u></u>
Firm or Indivi	or Registration No. 33,983 Individual name CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Orinigton Avenue, Suite 2000													
	Evanston, IL 60201									Date March 28, 2005				
Signa	Signature /// / // CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE													
the same same may this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to (571) 273-8900														
to the	United States Pa	tent and Trade	mark (Office of	n this	date-						WAICH 40	<u> 444</u>	
Signa	Signature Signature (Signature Signature Signa							Date: March 28, 2006						

PTO/SP/21 (12-07), Approved for use traugh 8/36/09. Over pad 1-0031. Passet and Texasional Official U.S. DEPORTMENT OF CONSIDERATE
per the Paper Neutrology Act of 1995, no passets are reflected to response in a materials of electrology in the paper Neutrology Act of 1995, no passets are reflected to response in a materials.

										4-740	150	0000/61)		1
TRANSMITTAL				Attorney Docket No.				AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) 10/044,997 RECEIVED						
• •					Application Number)er		IANUARY 10, 2002 CENTRAL FAX			AXC	ENTI	
FORM					Filing Date									
					F	irsi Named inve	ntor		RAIG H	BECK	EK	MAR	<u> </u>	ᄤ
	(to be used for all con	respondence alter i	राह्मका विका	ান্ত)	Group Art Unit				2141					ᅱ
					E	-aminer			riv, Bac	KHEA	N	·		
				ENCI	LOSUI	RES (check a	# that a	рріу)						
	Amendment					iment Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences				card of	Ì
	After Fina	n			Drawing Sheets				Appeal Brief					
	Affigavits/declaration(s)				After Allowance Communication to Group									
_					Accon	n Routing Sip (P npanying Petition		and		_				Ì
	Status Letter				Provis	invert a Honal Application				Post Card Receipt Additional Enclosure(8)				
	One-Month Petro Time Request (di	up)	of			ge of Correspond	jence Add	ress		(рюа:	se ide	ntify pelow):		\dashv
	Express Abandon				Terminal Disclaimer					1				
information Disclosure Statement, PTO-1449					Small Entry Statement]					
	Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)				•	est of Refund								
Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application				⊠ ⊠	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM CORPORATION). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed. I hereby petition under 37 CFR § 1.135(a) for any excension of time required to ensure that this paper is timely filed. Please charge any associated fees which have not otherwise been paid to Deposit Account No. 09-0447 (IBM CORPORATION). A									
					duph	wise been paid cate copy of this	EUSELIZ	enclosed.	No. 93	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	C.	ALCULATION C	F FEE		_			Large i	=nth.	
			Li.eba	NO			1	Small	Entry	$\overline{}$		Fei An		
	Claims After Amendment		Previ Paid			Present Extra	Ra	TE	Add" Fee		Of .	Rate	Fee	
Total		Minus				0	┨	x \$25=		0		x \$50=		
Indep		Minus				0	┨┝	x \$100=		-0		x \$200= + \$360=	<u></u>	
First	Presentation of Mul	tiple Dep. Claim				<u> </u>	ــا ل	+\$180=		S 0		rotal add7 fee		\$0
			614	NATIO	RE OF	APPLICANT. A		add') fee Y. OR AG		7 0				
Firm FRANK C. NICHOLAS or Registration No. 33,983 CARDINAL LAW GROUP 1603 Omington Averture, Surte 2000 Evansion, IL, 60201														
Signature Signature				1/	1/4				Date March 28 2006					
		- 100 - 100	1/	4 - Y	_	TIFICATE OF F						-		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facisinale to (571) 273-8300 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on this date: March 28, 2006														
Signature EPIXING C NICHOLAS (23 983)						h				Date: March 28, 2006				

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 9 2006

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted
via facsimile to (571) 273-8300 to the United States
Patent and Trademark Office on March 28 2006
(Date of Transmission)

FRANK C NICHOLAS (33 983)

Name of Appellant, assignes or registered representative

Signature

March 28, 2006

Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ln re patent	application of:)	
CR	AIG H. BECKER, ET AL.)	Examiner: TIV, BACKHEAN
Serial No.:	10/044,997))	Group Art Unit: 2141
Filed:	JANUARY 10, 2002)	Conf. No.:2738
PEF	THOD AND SYSTEM FOR IR TO PEER COMMUNICATION II I'WORK ENVIRONMENT) (A N)	

APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22202-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellants respectfully present their Brief on Appeal as follows:

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 2 of 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Real party in interest	•	•	•	•	•	3
2.	Related appeals and	interfe	rences			•	4
3.	Status of claims		•	•		•	5
4.	Status of amendment	ß.	-		•	•	6
5 .	Summary of claimed	subjec	rt matte	er .		-	7
6.	Grounds of rejection	to be i	reviewe	d on ap	peal	•	8
7.	Argument .	•				•	9
8.	Conclusion .		-	•	•		12
9.	Claims appendix				•	••	13
10.	Evidence appendix		•		•	••	20
11.	Related proceedings	appene	dix	•			20

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 3 of 20

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is assignee INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, USA and located at New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504, USA.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 4 of 20

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES 2.

Appellant and the undersigned attorneys are not aware of any appeals or any interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

MAR-29-08 04:06PM FROM-CLG FAX

10418001113

March 28, 2006

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 5 of 20

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-32 are currently pending in the application and stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over United States Patent Publication 2003/0095504A1 to Ogier in view of United States Patent 5,448,561 to Kaiser in view of United States Patent 5,710,885 to Bondi. All claims are on appeal. See, the Appendix.

MAR-29-06 04:06PM FROM-CLG FAX

March 28, 2006

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 6 of 20

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

All amendments have been entered.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 7 of 20

5. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The invention provides a method for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment. The method includes communicating a discovery command (p. 15, lines 10-15) from a current peer node 114 to at least one neighbor peer node 112, 116, 118, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value 410 indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration 410. In addition, the method includes receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections (p. 16 lines 20-25), and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command 502.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 8 of 20

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Were claims 1-32 properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Ogier in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi?

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 9 of 20

7. ARGUMENTS

The Appellants respectfully traverse the obviousness rejections of claims 1-32, because the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness as required by MPEP §2143. Specifically, the Examiner has failed to cite a legally sufficient suggestion or a legally sufficient motivation, in Ogier in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi to obtain the claimed invention.

In order to maintain this rejection, each and every element of the claims must be taught or suggested by the references, in at least as great detail as claimed. At a minimum, Ogier in view of Kaiser in view of Bondi fails to teach or suggest that the information include[es] a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections as claimed in claims 1, 13, and 23. The Examiner relies on Ogier for such a teaching, but at most, Ogier teaches that the information includes an IP address, and not a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections. See, ¶36, 39 of Ogier. Neither Kaiser nor Bondi cure this defect. Note that the claim requires that the information include not just a port number, but a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections.

Furthermore, Ogier unequivocally teaches away from the combination as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner cannot conclusively assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the suggested modifications based on the teachings of the references.

Specifically, Ogier teaches a reduced-overhead protocol for discovering new neighbor nodes and detecting the loss of existing neighbor nodes in a network. Ogier teaches that prior discovery protocols have "excessive communication overhead, and thus consume excessive bandwidth in networks with limited bandwidth." See, ¶3 of Ogier.

March 28, 2006 Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

> Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 10 of 20

Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art, armed with the teachings of Ogier, would be motivated to reduce the communications overhead of a discovery protocol. The Examiner cannot conclusively assert that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to add a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration. Those of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to make any such modification (allegedly taught by Kaiser) because adding such data to the discovery command does not reduce the size of the discovery command – and actually increases the consumption of bandwidth, contrary to the teachings of Ogier. Therefore, Ogier teaches away from the Examiner's attempted combination of Ogier and Kaiser.

Additionally, Bondi teaches away from the instant claims by teaching a network management module. The instant claims require a peer-to-peer network – entirely different than having a network management module, and any modification as suggested by the Examiner would destroy the principle of operation of the reference. Those of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to add a network management module to a peer-to-peer network. Thus, Bondi cannot support a §103(a) rejection.

The mere fact that Ogier can be modified in view of Kaiser in further view of Bondi to obtain the claimed invention does not render the resultant modification obvious unless the prior art also suggests the desirability of the combination. See, *In re Mills*, 916 F.2d 680, 16 USPQ2d 1430 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (Claims were directed to an apparatus for producing an aerated cementitious composition by drawing air into the cementitious composition by driving the output pump at a capacity greater than the feed rate. The prior art reference taught that the feed means can be run at a variable speed, however the court found that this does not require that the output pump be run at the claimed speed so that air is drawn into the mixing chamber and is entrained in the ingredients during operation. Although a prior art device "may be capable of being modified to run the way the apparatus is claimed, there must be a suggestion or motivation in the reference to do so." 916 F.2d at 682, 16 USPQ2d at 1432.). See also *In re Fritch*, 972 F.2d 1260, 23 USPQ2d 1780 (Fed. Cir. 1992) (flexible landscape edging device which is conformable to a ground surface of varying slope not suggested by combination of prior art references).

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 11 of 20

Therefore, there can be no motivation to combine these references. The references themselves teach away from any such combination. Additionally, Ogier does not teach that providing a reduced-overhead protocol is not optimal and denounces protocols that consume excessive bandwidth.

Withdrawal of the rejections to claims 1-12, 14-22, and 24-32.

March 28, 2006 Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 12 of 20

CONCLUSION

The Appellants respectfully submit that claims 1-32 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application is respectfully requested.

Dated: March 28, 2006

Respectfully submitted, CRAIG H. BECKER, et al.

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

Suite 2000

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113 Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Appellants

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 13 of 20

8. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A method for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

- The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number of
 neighbor peer nodes.
 - The method of claim 2, further comprising:
 determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.
 - 4. The method of claim 1, further comprising: creating a peer table at the current peer node; and updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.

March 28, 2006 Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

> Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 14 of 20

5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

- 6. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a
 unique descriptor.
- 7. The method of claim 6, further comprising:

 comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table,
 the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.
- 8. The method of claim 7, further comprising:
 updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the
 descriptor of the received data message.
- 9. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 forwarding a query command from the current peer node to a predetermined
 number of neighbor peer nodes.
- 10. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

 receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 15 of 20

The method of claim 1, further comprising: 11. receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer

node; and

communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

The method of claim 11, further comprising: 12. forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.

Computer program product in a computer usable medium for communicating 13. among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

means for communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

means for receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

The product of claim 13, further comprising: 14.

means for communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes; and

means for determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 16 of 20

- 15. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

 means for creating a peer table at the current peer node; and

 means for updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.
- 16. The product of claim 13, further comprising: means for receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

17. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

means for receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a unique descriptor; and

means for comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table, the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.

- 18. The product of claim 17, further comprising:
 means for updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the descriptor of the received data message.
- 19. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

 means for communicating a query command from the current peer node to a

 predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
- 20. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

 means for receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

March 28, 2006 Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61) Serial No.: 10/044,997

Filed: January 10, 2002 Page 17 of 20

21. The product of claim 13, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

- 22. The product of claim 21, further comprising:

 means for forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.
- 23. A system for communicating among a plurality of peer nodes in a network environment, comprising:

means for communicating a discovery command from a current peer node to at least one neighbor peer node, the neighbor peer node in communication with the current peer node, the discovery command including a time to live value indicative of the number of times that the discovery command is forwarded prior to communication expiration; and

means for receiving, at the current peer node, an aggregated list of peer nodes, the aggregated list of peer nodes comprising information about at least one peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node, the information including an IP address and a port number on which each peer node can accept incoming connections, and wherein each node waits for a predetermined ping time out delay between communicating a subsequent discovery command.

24. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for communicating the discovery command to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes; and

means for determining the number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 18 of 20

- 25. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

 means for creating a peer table at the current peer node; and

 means for updating the peer table with the aggregated list of peer nodes.
- 26. The system of claim 25, further comprising:

 means for receiving, at the current peer node, a second discovery command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, the peer table in response to the second discovery command.

27. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving a data message at the current peer node, the data message having a unique descriptor; and

means for comparing the descriptor of the received data message to a descriptor table, the descriptor table comprising a plurality of data messages and associated descriptors.

- 28. The system of claim 27, further comprising:

 means for updating the descriptor table with the received data message and the descriptor of the received data message.
- 29. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

 means for forwarding a query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 19 of 20

30. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, response data directly from at least one other peer node, the at least one other peer node in communication with the at least one neighbor node.

31. The system of claim 23, further comprising:

means for receiving, at the current peer node, a query command from an originating peer node; and

means for communicating, from the current peer node directly to the originating peer node, response data in response to the query command.

32. The system of claim 31, further comprising:

means for forwarding the query command from the current peer node to a predetermined number of neighbor peer nodes.

Case No. AUS920010712US1 (9000/61)

Serial No.: 10/044,997 Filed: January 10, 2002

Page 20 of 20

9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

Appellants entered no evidence pursuant to §1.130, 1.131 or 1.132, and the Examiner entered no evidence that was relied upon by Appellants.

10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

There are no copies of related decisions or proceedings.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.